

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 246204
ORIGIN EB-08

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 DODE-00 COME-00 NSAE-00
USIA-06 TRSE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 INRE-00 SSO-00
/032 R

DRAFTED BY EB/ITP/EWT - AREICHENBACH:JAS
APPROVED BY EB/ITP/EWT - JPCRAWFORD
COMMERCE/OEA - RGAREL
RPE

-----112677 132226Z /70
O 132139Z OCT 77
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY PARIS IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 246204

USOECN, EXCON, "ROOT/BEACHNER FROM REICHENBACH"

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM, UR

SUBJECT: STANSAAB APPLICATIONS

PER OUR TELECON, FOLLOWING ARE COMPARISON FIGURES FOR STAN-
SAAB REVISED APPLICATION. THESE ARE IN THE U.S. (OC) FORMAT,
RATHER THAN THE COCOM FORMAT, BUT SHOULD SUFFICE FOR USDEL'S
NEEDS.

ON LATE AFTERNOON OCTOBER 13, WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO GET
DOD SIGN OFF ON AGREED LD CHANGES BECAUSE OF ABSENCE OF
DR. MOUNTAIN. IF HE CAN DO THIS IN A.M. OF 14TH, COMMERCE
WILL SIGN LD AND WALINSKY OR MEYER WILL PHONE ROOT ADVISING
THAT CASE CAN BE SUBMITTED. IN INTERIM, SUGGEST USDEL
PROCEED WITH TYPING UP CASE SUBMISSION, SINCE THERE SHOULD
BE NO CHANGES IN THE DOCUMENTATION FOR COCOM.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 246204

FYI: LAST EXISTING PROBLEM WITH DOD IS THEIR SUGGESTION
TODAY THAT US INSIST THAT STANSAAB OBTAIN LETTER FROM
SOVIETS, ADDRESSED TO USG, GUARANTEEING U.S. PARTS AND
COMPONENTS WILL NOT BE DIVERTED OR REEXPORTED WITHOUT U.S.
PERMISSION. REICHENBACH CANNOT AGREE TO THIS, SINCE HE
FEELS SWEDES, WHO WILL BE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN SIMILAR

LETTER, COULD TAKE OFFENSE AT OUR LACK OF FAITH IN THEIR ASSURANCES. COMMERCE SUPPORTS US IN THIS. TWO LETTERS OF ASSURANCE, ONE FROM SOV TO SWEDES AND SEPARATE ONE TO U.S. WOULD BE NEW PRECEDENT NOT NOW EVEN REQUIRED WITHIN THE COCOM STRUCTURE.

COMPARISON SHEET

MAXIMUM PERMITTED BY IL 1565	NOTE 9	AREA CONTROL NOTE 12	TERMINAL CONTROL CENTER	CENTER
------------------------------------	--------	----------------------------	-------------------------------	--------

1. I/O BUS RATE	45	90	340 /1	170 /1
2. PDR	8	32	55.4	27.7
3. INT. MEM.	2.36	6.3	20.48	6.3/3.6/2
4. BIT TRANS. RATE	8	8	7.6	3.8
5. EFFECTIVE BTR	1.6	3.4	DISP 2.0	DISP ---
--	--	--	TAPE .58	TAPE .58
--	--	--	DISC 1.32	DISC 1.32
6. TAPE UNITS	12	12	8	4
7. NET CAP. PERI-	960	3,200	933	466
PERAL MEM.				
8. MFP				
ATT:30 MILSEC.ATT				
AAT: 40.8	43,000	135,000	28,300	28,300
9. TOTAL NUMBER	150	250	98	49

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 246204

ACCESSES

10. EBTR	2,400	4,800	/3	/3
11. TOTAL EBTR	4,800	9,600	53,200	60,000 /4
--	--	--	19,200	
--	--	--	14,400	
12. EBTR INTERFACE	1,200	2,400	2,400	2,400
13. TEBTR - INTER-	4,800	9,600	/3	/3
FACE				
14. INTERFACE				
SINGLE EBTR	1,200	2,400	/3	/3
15. PERCENT				
DEDICATED	100	100	100	100

MAXIMUM PERMITTED BY IL 1565	NOTE 9	NOTE 12	SIMULATOR	PROGRAMMER
------------------------------------	--------	---------	-----------	------------

1. SAME FORMAT AND NOTE 12 AND 9	85	42.6
FIGURES AS ABOVE		
2.	13.8	13.8
3.	2.62	1.05
4.	1.32	1.9

5.			DISP 2.0 TAPE 0.58	
--	--	--	DISC 1.32 DISC 1.32	
6.	--	--	--	2
7.	--	--	467	233
8.	ATT:20 MILSEC.	AAT:40.8		28,300 28,300
9.	--	--	49	24.5

FOR ITEMS 10 THROUGH 15:

SIMULATOR: DISPLAY DEVICES MAY BE INSTALLED OUTSIDE OF
COMPUTER OPERATING AREA.

PROGRAMMER: DISPLAY DEVICES

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 246204

FOOTNOTES FOR IL 1565 TABLE OF PERFORMANCE DATA ABOVE:

1/ THE CPU BUS RATES OF THE ACC REFLECT THE TOTAL OF FOUR
IDENTICAL COMPUTER SYSTEMS CONNECTED VIA MULTIPLE HIGH
SPEED DATA CHANNELS. FOR THE TCC SYSTEMS, TWO CROSS-
COUPLED COMPUTER SYSTEMS ARE INCLUDED.

2/ THE INTERNAL MEMORIES SHOWN FOR THE TCC SYSTEMS ARE FOR
DUAL CROSS-COUPLED COMPUTER SYSTEMS WITH THE MOSCOW TCC
HAVING THE HIGHER CAPACITY OF 6.3 MBITS AND THE OTHER TWO
TCC SYSTEMS HAVING 3.6 MBITS EACH.

3/ INFORMATION PROVIDED BY STANSAAB WAS NOT FULLY DEVELOPED
TO COVER THESE FACTORS.

4/ THE THREE RATES GIVEN IN THE TABLE OF 60,000, 19,200
AND 14,400 BPS ARE FOR THE MOSCOW, KIEV AND MINERALNYJE VODY
TCC SYSTEMS, RESPECTIVELY.

END OF COMPARISON FIGURES. VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT, EXPORT LICENSES, PROGRESS REPORTS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 13-Oct-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE246204
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: AREICHENBACH:JAS
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770374-0222
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19771063/aaaacbqx.tel
Line Count: 156
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 5b17952f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EB
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 04-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 929806
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: STANSAAB APPLICATIONS PER OUR TELECON, FOLLOWING ARE COMPARISON FIGURES FOR STAN- SAAB REVISED APPLICATION. THESE ARE IN THE U.S. (
TAGS: ESTC, UR, US, COCOM, STANSAAB
To: PARIS
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/5b17952f-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009